



Ultrafine particle exposure in Danish residencies

Bekö, Gabriel; Karottki, Dorina Gabriela; Wierzbicka, Aneta; Toftum, Jørn; Loft, Steffen; Clausen, Geo

Published in:
Proceedings of Indoor Air 2016

Publication date:
2016

Document Version
Peer reviewed version

[Link back to DTU Orbit](#)

Citation (APA):
Bekö, G., Karottki, D. G., Wierzbicka, A., Toftum, J., Loft, S., & Clausen, G. (2016). Ultrafine particle exposure in Danish residencies. In *Proceedings of Indoor Air 2016* [1194]

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

Ultrafine particle exposure in Danish residencies

Gabriel Bekö^{1,*}, Dorina Gabriela Karottki², Aneta Wierzbicka³, Jørn Toftum¹, Steffen Loft², Geo Clausen¹

¹Technical University of Denmark, Lyngby, Denmark,

²Copenhagen University, Denmark

³Lund University, Sweden

*Corresponding email: gab@byg.dtu.dk

SUMMARY

We measured ultrafine particle concentrations in 56 Danish residences, estimated the daily integrated exposure of the occupants and apportioned this exposure to source events. The residential daily integrated particle number (PN) exposure in the homes was substantial and source events, especially candle burning, cooking, toasting and unknown activities, were responsible on average for ~65% of the residential integrated exposure. Residents of another 60 homes were then asked to carry a backpack equipped with a GPS recorder and a portable monitor to measure real-time individual exposure over ~48 h. UFP exposure occurring in various microenvironments was estimated. The fractional contribution of each microenvironment to the daily integrated personal exposure corresponded to the fractions of the day the subjects spent in each microenvironment. The home environment accounted for 50% of the daily personal exposure, indoor environments other than home or vehicles contributed with ~40%, and being in transit or outdoors contributed 5% or less.

PRACTICAL IMPLICATIONS

Indoor sources should be considered in future assessments of ultrafine particle exposure and associated risks. Personal monitoring coupled with GPS provides the opportunity to assess the personal daily UFP exposure in various microenvironments and help to identify the microenvironments that may pose increased health risks.

KEYWORDS

Residential exposure, Source apportionment, Personal exposure, Ultrafine Particles

1 INTRODUCTION

Recent studies advocate that ultrafine particles (UFP) may be of concern regarding adverse health effects. Indoor sources, such as e.g. cooking, smoking or candle burning can significantly contribute to the total UFP exposure in homes (Wallace, 2006). When such indoor sources are not present, particles of outdoor origin are the major contributors to the overall indoor particle number (PN) levels. However, personal monitoring of UFP has been done in a limited extent in the past. The contribution of indoor and outdoor sources to the total personal exposure to UFP is therefore not well understood.

2 MATERIALS/METHODS

Particle number concentrations (PN; 10 - 300 nm in size) were continuously measured using a NanoTracer PNT1000 (Philips Aerasense, Netherlands) over a period of ~45 hours in 56 residences of non-smokers. The residents kept diaries on occupancy and particle related activities. The average residential daily integrated exposure (Bhangar et al., 2011) was calculated and apportioned among sources. In a companion study PN concentrations were

continuously measured over a period of ~45 hours in the living rooms of another 60 residences of non-smokers. One occupant in each home carried around a backpack with another monitor during the entire time of the monitoring (including outdoors and during work and pleasure activities). The backpack also contained a GPS tracking device. The integrated daily exposure to UFP was calculated for periods spent in the home, active transport, passive transport, other indoor locations – buildings and other outdoor locations.

3 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The highest particle number concentrations were measured when occupants were present and awake (GM: $22.3 \times 10^3 \text{ cm}^{-3}$), the lowest when the homes were vacant (GM: $6.1 \times 10^3 \text{ cm}^{-3}$) or the occupants were asleep (GM: $5.1 \times 10^3 \text{ cm}^{-3}$). Source events resulted in increased PN concentrations. Exposure to elevated PN concentrations persisted for several hours after the source ceased to emit fresh particles. Source events were responsible for ~70% of the residential daily integrated PN exposure. Events contributing the most were candle burning and cooking (Table 1). Candle burning and cooking were responsible for nearly 60% and 30%, respectively, of the integrated exposure in the homes where these activities occurred.

For the personal monitoring, higher concentrations were measured during the periods when the index person was away from home compared to when the index person was within the home. The highest median UFP concentration was obtained during passive transport (vehicles). The home environment accounted for 50% of the daily personal exposure. Indoor environments other than home or vehicles contributed with ~40%. Being in transit or outdoors, each contributed 5% or less to the daily exposure (Figure 1). The fractional contribution of each microenvironment to the daily integrated personal exposure roughly corresponded to the fractions of the day the subjects spent in each microenvironment.

Table 1. Contribution of events to the total residential integrated exposure during occupancy.

Activity type (No. of homes with the event)	Average contrib. to the total residential integr. exposure (%)
Cooking (37)	29
Toasting (12)	11
Candle burning (28)	58
Special events (3)	22
Unknown (52)	14
Window opening (11)	3




Figure 1. Apportionment of the daily integrated exposure to UFP between various microenvironments (geom.mean)

4 CONCLUSIONS

A significant fraction of the total personal daily integrated exposure to UFP occurs within the home and shouldn't be overlooked when evaluating the potential health effects of ultrafine particles. Indoor sources significantly contribute to this exposure. Outdoor sources are presumably responsible for most of the exposure that occurs away from home.

5 REFERENCES

- Bhangar S, Mullen NA, Hering SV, et al. 2011. Ultrafine particle concentrations and exposures in seven residences in northern California. *Indoor Air* 21, 132-144.
- Wallace L. 2006. Indoor sources of ultrafine and accumulation mode particles: Size distributions, size-resolved concentrations, and source strengths. *Aerosol Science and Technology*, 40, 348-360.